## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff/Respondent,

v.

No. CV 15-1184 JAP/LAM No. CR 12-1072 JAP

## LUIS GERARDO BENITEZ,

Defendant/Movant.

## ORDER LIFTING STAY AND DIRECTING THE PARTIES TO CONFER AND FILE A JOINT STATEMENT IN LIGHT OF BECKLES

THIS MATTER is before the Court *sua sponte* under Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings in light of the U.S. Supreme Court's ruling in *Beckles v. United States*, 580 U.S. \_\_\_\_, No. 15-8544, slip op (March 6, 2017). Defendant/Movant has filed a motion and amended motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 claiming that he improperly received an enhanced sentence as a career offender under the United States Sentencing Guidelines because the residual clause of USSG § 4B1.2 is unconstitutionally vague under the reasoning in *Johnson v. United States*, 576 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 135 S.Ct. 2551 (2015). *See* (*CV Doc. 1*; *CR Doc. 49*). Plaintiff/Respondent United States of America filed a motion to stay the proceedings based on the pendency of *Beckles*, which was granted by the Court. *See* (*CV Docs. 6, 18, 19; CR Docs. 55, 64, 65*). In *Beckles*, the Supreme Court held that the United States Sentencing Guidelines are not subject to a void-for-vagueness challenge. 580 U.S. \_\_\_\_, No. 15-8544, slip op at 5.

The Court will lift the stay and direct the parties to confer on the question of whether the

Supreme Court's ruling in Beckles is dispositive of all issues raised in this § 2255 proceeding,

and to file a joint statement advising the Court of the results of their conference. If either party

contends that Beckles does not dispose of all issues, the statement shall identify the issue or

issues that remain for determination by the Court after Beckles and the party raising the

remaining issue or issues. The parties shall confer and file the joint statement within fourteen

days of entry of this Order. If neither party claims that any issues remain for adjudication

following Beckles, the Court will enter an Order dismissing this § 2255 proceeding. If the

parties contend that issues still remain for determination, the Court will enter an order setting a

schedule for supplemental briefing.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the stay in this case is hereby **LIFTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall confer on the question of whether

the Supreme Court's ruling in Beckles is dispositive of all issues raised in this § 2255

proceeding, and shall file a joint statement advising the Court of the results of their conference

within fourteen (14) days of entry of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LOURDES A. MARTÍNEZ \

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE